

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,479	09/28/2001	David Lark	29757/P-561	. 6461	
4743	7590 01/22/2004		EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 6300 SEARS TOWER			MARKS, CHRISTINA M		
	KER DRIVE		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		3713 / Z DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
·- Y	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/966,479	LARK, DAVID	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Marks	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same application at the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application i	a in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office	e extension action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	pecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amer	ndment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT pla	ce the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were new	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			n
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. \square Other: $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}$	•		
MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER	C	cmm WW	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: It has not been previously considered that the playing cards change in a predetermined, non-random sequence at a predetermined speed as added by the amended claims. This limitation would require further search as well as consideration as it adds a new limitation to the manner in which the display is controlled.